### Financial Audit of the

### STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES STATE PARKING REVOLVING FUND

July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
PART I - TRANSMITTAL	1
PART II – MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	3
PART III – FINANCIAL SECTION:	
Independent auditors' report	7
Financial statements Statement of Net Assets Statement of Revenues, Expense and Changes in Net Assets Statement of Cash Flows Notes to Financial Statements	9 10 11 13
PART IV – INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE SECTION:	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	29
PART V - SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	31
PART VI - CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN	32

### PART I TRANSMITTAL



November 15, 2005

Comptroller State of Hawaii Department of Accounting and General Services 1151 Punchbowl Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

We have completed our financial audit of State of Hawaii, Department of Accounting and General Services, State Parking Revolving Fund (the "Fund") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005. The audit was performed in accordance with our agreement with the Fund dated June 25, 2004.

### **OBJECTIVES**

The primary purpose of our audit was to form an opinion on the fairness of the presentation of the Fund's financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. More specifically, the objectives of our audit were as follows:

- 1. To provide a basis for an opinion on the fairness of the financial statements of the Fund.
- 2. To ascertain whether or not expenditures have been made and all revenues and other receipts to which the Fund is entitled have been collected and accounted for in accordance with the laws, rules and regulations, and policies and procedures of the State of Hawaii and the federal government.
- 3. To ascertain the adequacy of the financial and other management information reports in providing officials at the different levels of the State and the Fund the proper information to plan, evaluate, control and correct program activities.
- 4. To evaluate the adequacy, effectiveness and efficiency of the systems and procedures for financial accounting, operational and internal controls, and to recommend improvements to such systems and procedures.

### SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

Our audit was performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The scope of our audit included an examination of the transactions and accounting records of the Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.

### ORGANIZATION OF THE REPORT

This report is organized into six parts:

PART I - presents the transmittal letter.

PART II - presents management's discussion and analysis.

PART III - presents the financial section which includes the Fund's financial statements and the auditors' report on such statements.

PART IV - contains the reports on internal controls and compliance.

PART V - presents the schedule of audit findings and questioned costs.

PART VI - contains the corrective action plan.

At this time, we wish to thank the Fund's personnel for their cooperation and assistance extended to us. We will be happy to respond to any questions that you may have on this report.

Very truly yours,

Michael Y. Ichikawa Principal

**COPY** 

Original document signed by Egami & Ichikawa CPAs, Inc.

### PART II MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This section of the annual financial report presents an analysis of the Fund's financial performance during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005. Please read it in conjunction with the financial statements which follow this section

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The Fund's net assets decreased by 4.89% or \$1,538,000 in 2005 over 2004. This decrease is mainly because \$1,464,000 of the Fund was transferred out to the State's General Fund.
- The Fund's operating revenues increased by 1.72% or \$62,000 in 2005 over 2004. Parking assessments, parking meter and traffic fine collections revenues increased.
- The Fund's net nonoperating expenses decreased because interest expense decreased by 24.35% or \$20,000 over 2004.

#### OVERVIEW OF ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of six parts: Transmittal letter; management's discussion and analysis; financial statements and notes to the financial statements; the report on internal control over financial reporting and compliance; the schedule of audit findings and questioned costs; and the corrective action plan.

#### REQUIRED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Financial Statements of the Fund present information about the Fund as a whole and its activities and uses the accrual basis of accounting. The accrual basis which is similar to the accounting basis used by private sector companies recognizes revenues and expenses regardless of when cash is paid or received.

The Statement of Net Assets provides both short-term and long-term information about the Fund's financial position, which reflects the fund's economic condition at the end of the year.

The Statement of Net Assets provides, over time, indicators of the Fund's financial position. The Statement of Net Assets includes all the Fund's assets and liabilities, and provides information about the nature and the amounts of investment in resources (assets) and obligations (liabilities) of the Fund.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

#### REQUIRED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets reflect the Fund's current year's revenues and expenses regardless of when cash is received or paid.

The Statement of Cash Flows reflects the flow of cash of the Fund in four categories or activities: operating, investing, capital, and noncapital.

Tables 1 and 2 present a comparative view of net assets and changes in net assets as of June 30, 2005 and 2004.

TABLE 1
NET ASSETS
(Rounded to nearest \$1,000)

	2005	2004
ASSETS:		
Current	\$ 2,404,000	\$ 2,696,000
Capital assets, net of depreciation	29,149,000	30,783,000
Total assets	31,553,000	33,479,000
LIABILITIES:		
Current	492,000	603,000
Long-term	1,134,000	1,412,000
Total liabilities	1,626,000	2,015,000
NET ASSETS:		
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	28,052,000	29,317,000
Unrestricted	1,875,000	2,147,000
Total net assets	29,927,000	31,464,000
Total liabilities and net assets	\$ <u>31,553,000</u>	\$ <u>33,479,000</u>

Net assets of the Fund decreased by 4.89% in 2005. Investment in capital assets (e.g., land, construction in progress, structures and improvements, and equipment, furniture and fixtures), net of the related debt used to construct the assets represent a large portion of the funds assets; \$28,052,000 or 93.73% in 2005 and \$29,317,000 or 93.18% in 2004. Capital assets are used to provide parking for employees, contractors with state related business and the public. The Fund's investment in its capital assets is reported net of debt. The resources needed to repay this debt must be provided by other sources, since the capital assets, mainly the parking structures, cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities. The remaining unreserved assets may be used to finance day to day operations without any constraints established by debt or other legal requirements.

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

### **TABLE 2** CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

(Rounded to nearest \$1,000)

	2005	2004
OPERATING REVENUES		
Parking assessments	\$ 2,652,000	\$ 2,602,000
Parking meter collections	834,000	812,000
Traffic fines	184,000	171,000
Other income	1,000	24,000
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES	3,671,000	3,609,000
OPERATING EXPENSES		
General operating and administrative	2,059,000	2,136,000
Depreciation	1,662,000	1,667,000
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	3,721,000	3,803,000
	(=0.000)	(404000)
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(50,000)	(194,000)
NET NONOPERATING EXPENSES	(24,000)	(41,000)
OPERATING TRANSFERS – GENERAL FUNI	(1,464,000)	(2,200,000)
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	\$ <u>(1,538,000)</u>	\$ <u>(2,435,000)</u>

The Fund's parking assessment fees and rates are established by the Comptroller, as Chief of the Department of Accounting and General Services or the Comptroller's designated representative, the division head of the automotive management division. The increase in operating revenues of 1.72% or \$62,000 in 2005 was partially due to an increase in parking assessment revenues and parking meter collections, and more parking stalls rented to the general public.

The increase in traffic fines revenues of 7.60% or \$13,000 was due to increase in the number of citations issued.

The decrease in general operating expenses of 3.60% or \$77,000 in 2005 was primarily due to decreased parking structure repairs, upgrades and maintenance during the current year

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

### CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

#### Capital Assets

The Fund's investment in capital assets amounted to \$29,149,000 and \$30,783,000 (net of accumulated depreciation of \$35,601,000 in 2005 and \$33,940,000 in 2004). Capital assets include land, structures and improvements, equipment, furniture, and fixtures. Structures and improvements represent 83.90% in 2005 and 83.94% in 2004, of the total capital assets.

	2005	2004
ASSETS:		
Capital asset, not being depreciated:		
Land	\$ 10,271,000	\$ 10,271,000
Construction in progress	52,000	26,000
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	10,323,000	10,297,000
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation:		
Structures and improvements	18,804,000	20,455,000
Equipment, furniture and fixtures	22,000	31,000
Total capital assets, net of accumulated		
Depreciation	18,826,000	20,486,000
TOTAL CAPITAL ASSETS, NET	\$ <u>29,149,000</u>	\$ <u>30,783,000</u>

### **Debt Administration**

As of June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Fund had long-term debt of \$1,096,000 and \$1,466,000, respectively, which is comprised of a General obligation bond and General obligation refunding bonds. See Note (6) of the Fund's financial statements for additional information on the General obligation bond and General obligation refunding bonds:

	2005		2004	
Current Installments	\$	278,000	\$	370,000
Bonds payable, net of current	_	818,000	_	1,096,000
Total bonds payable	\$ <u></u>	1,096,000	\$_	1,466,000

The Fund's total debt decreased by \$370,000 in 2005. The Fund incurred additional General obligation refunding bonds of \$2,000 during 2005, proceeds were used to refund existing General obligation bonds.

### PART III FINANCIAL SECTION



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Comptroller State of Hawaii Department of Accounting and General Services 1151 Punchbowl Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the State of Hawaii, Department of Accounting and General Services, State Parking Revolving Fund (the "Fund") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the State of Hawaii, or any other segment thereof, as of June 30, 2005, and the changes in its financial position and cash flows, for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Fund as of June 30, 2005, and the changes in financial position and cash flows thereof and for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated November 15, 2005, on our consideration of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis on pages 3-6, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the Fund, the Department of Accounting and General Services and others within the organization and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Honolulu, Hawaii November 15, 2005

**COPY**Original document signed by
Egami & Ichikawa CPAs, Inc.

### STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES STATE PARKING REVOLVING FUND STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS JUNE 30, 2005

CURRENT ASSETS	
Cash in State Treasury	5 2,265,228
Accounts receivable, net	133,430
Inventories	5,586
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	2,404,244
TOTAL CORRECT ASSETS	2,101,211
NONCURRENT ASSETS	
Capital assets, at cost, less accumulated depreciation	29,148,688
TOTAL ASSETS	31,552,932
CURRENT LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	104,009
Accrued liabilities	109,925
General obligation bonds payable-current	278,191
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	492,125
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	
Security card deposits	19,450
Accrued liabilities	155,024
Due to State of Hawaii	140,962
General obligation bonds payable, less current portion above	818,104
TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES	1,133,540
TOTAL LIABILITIES	1,625,665
NET AGGETG	
NET ASSETS	20.052.202
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	28,052,393
Unreserved	1,874,874
TOTAL NET ASSETS	29,927,267
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	31,552,932

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

### STATE OF HAWAII

### DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES STATE PARKING REVOLVING FUND

### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

OPERATING REVENUES		
Parking assessments	\$	2,652,388
Parking meter collections		834,262
Traffic Fines		184,124
Other income		281
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUES		3,671,055
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Depreciation		1,661,596
Personnel services		1,047,458
Repairs and maintenance		546,480
Special assessment		47,121
Others		417,951
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	-	3,720,606
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	-	(49,551)
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)		
Interest income		61,187
Interest expense		(60,989)
Assessment on ceded land revenues		(23,730)
TOTAL NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)		(23,532)
LOSS BEFORE TRANSFERS		(73,083)
OPERATING TRANSFER	•	(1,464,146)
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS		(1,537,229)
TOTAL NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF THE YEAR TOTAL NET ASSETS, END OF THE YEAR	\$	31,464,496 29,927,267

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES STATE PARKING REVOLVING FUND STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Receipts from parking assessments	\$	2,639,906
Receipts from parking meter collections		833,023
Receipts from traffic fines		184,124
Other receipts		2,326
Payments for personnel services		(1,042,164)
Payments for repairs and maintenance		(546,480)
Payments for special assessment		(99,549)
Other administrative payments		(466,064)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	_	1,505,122
	_	, , ,
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Interest from time certificates of deposits		61,187
NET CASH PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	_	61,187
	_	
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Payments for construction in progress, net of completed repairs		(26,948)
Principal paid on general obligation bonds		(369,911)
General obligation bond and interest receivable		112,563
Interest paid on general obligation bonds		(70,308)
Ceded land payment		(23,523)
NET CASH USED IN CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES	_	(378,127)
	_	
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Operating transfer out to general fund		(1,464,146)
NET CASH USED IN NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES	_	(1,464,146)
	_	
NET DECREASE IN CASH		(275,964)
CASH, BEGINNING OF THE YEAR		2,541,192
CASH, END OF THE YEAR	\$	2,265,228
	=	

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES STATE PARKING REVOLVING FUND STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

### RECONCILIATION OF LOSS FROM OPERATIONS TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:

CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES.		
Loss from operations	\$	(49,551)
Adjustments to reconcile loss from operations to net		
cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation		1,661,596
(Increase) decrease in assets:		
Accounts receivable		(97,128)
Inventories		104
Increase (decrease) in liabilities:		
Accounts payable		(17,238)
Accrued wages payables		1,236
Accrued vacation payables		4,058
Security card deposits		2,045
		1,554,673
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$_	1,505,122

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The State Parking Revolving Fund (the "Fund") was established by an appropriation of \$50,000 from the State's General Fund pursuant to Act 161, Session Laws of Hawaii 1963 (Section 107-11 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes). The Fund is responsible for the assessment and collection of reasonable parking fees, installation of parking meters, and the restriction and control of parking on all State lands within the State Comptroller's jurisdiction. All fees, charges and other revenue collected are deposited into this Fund. The funds are to be expended, as necessary, to defray the cost of paving parking areas as well as the purchase and installation of parking meters on State lands within the State Comptroller's jurisdiction.

The accompanying financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), as applicable to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for State and Local Governments through its pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations). Governments are required to follow the pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued through November 30, 1989 (when applicable) that do not conflict with or contradict GASB pronouncement. The more significant accounting policies established by GAAP and used by the Fund are discussed below.

<u>Basis of Accounting</u> - The Fund is an enterprise fund (proprietary fund type) as defined by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), which uses the flow of economic resources measurement focus and accrual basis of accounting as generally applied to commercial enterprises. Under this method, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred.

<u>Reporting entity</u> - The financial statements reflect only the Fund's financial activities. The State Comptroller maintains the central accounts for all State funds and publishes financial statements for the State of Hawaii (State) annually, which include the Fund's financial activities.

<u>Use of Estimates</u> - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

<u>Cash and Cash Equivalents</u> - Cash and cash equivalents are held in the State Treasury. The Director of Finance is responsible for the safekeeping of all monies deposited into the State Treasury (cash pool). The Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS) authorizes the Director of Finance to invest in obligations of or guaranteed by the U. S. Government, obligations of the State of Hawaii (State), federally-insured savings and checking accounts, time certificates of deposit and repurchase agreements with federally-insured financial institutions. Deposits not covered by federal deposit insurance are fully collateralized by government securities held in the name of the State by third party custodians.

The Director of Finance pools and invests any monies of the Fund, which in the Director's judgment, are in excess of the amounts necessary for meeting the specific requirements of the Fund. Investment earnings are allocated to the Fund based on its equity interest in the pooled monies. For purposes of the financial statements, the Fund considers all cash held in the State Treasury and investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

<u>Inventories</u> - Inventories principally supplies are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost being determined by the first-in, first-out method (FIFO).

<u>Capital Assets</u> - Capital assets are defined by the Fund as parking structures and improvements, equipment, furniture and fixtures with estimated useful lives greater than one year and acquisition costs greater than the following amounts:

Structures and improvements	\$100,000
Equipment, furniture and fixtures	5,000

Capital assets are stated at cost. Donated assets are recorded at their fair market value at the date of donation. Transfers are recorded at cost, net of the depreciation which would have been charged had the asset been directly acquired by the Fund. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value or materially extend useful lives are charged to operations as incurred. When capital assets are disposed, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the respective accounts with the resulting gain or loss reflected in operations.

Depreciation is computed under the straight-line method over estimated useful lives as follows:

	<u>Useful Lives</u>
Structures and improvements	15 - 30 years
Equipment, furniture and fixtures	5 - 12 years

### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Accumulated Vacation and Sick Leave - The Fund's employees, with certain exceptions, earn vacation leave at the rate of one and three-quarters working days for each month of service. Vacation days may be accumulated to a maximum of ninety days. When termination of employment takes place, the employees are paid their vacation allowance in a lump sum. The Fund records all vacation pay at current salary rates, including additional amounts for certain salary-related payments associated with the payment of compensated absences, in accordance with GASB Statement No. 16, Accounting for Compensated Absences. Sick leave is not convertible to pay upon termination of employment and is recorded as an expenditure when taken.

<u>Traffic Fines</u> - Traffic fines are reported as revenue when received.

### 2. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2005, was as follows:

	Beginning			Ending
	Balance	Increases	Decreases	Balance
Capital assets not being depreciated:	_			
Land	\$ 10,271,053	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,271,053
Construction in progress rounding	25,983	26,948		52,931
Total capital assets not being				
depreciated	10,297,036	26,948		10,323,984
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Structures and improvements	54,327,804	-	-	54,327,804
Equipment, furniture & fixtures	98,708			98,708
Total capital assets being				
depreciated	54,426,512			54,426,512
Total capital assets	64,723,548	26,948	-	64,750,496
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Structures and improvements	(33,872,772)	(1,652,217)	-	(35,524,989)
Equipment, furniture & fixtures	(67,440)	(9,379)		(76,819)
Total accumulated depreciation	(33,940,212)	(1,661,596)		(35,601,808)
Total capital assets, net of				
depreciation	\$ <u>30,783,336</u>	\$ <u>(1,634,648</u> )	\$	\$ <u>29,148,688</u>

### 3. CEDED LAND REVENUES

In 1898, the Republic of Hawaii transferred certain lands to the United States of America. Upon Hawaii's admission to the Union in 1959, the United States of America reconveyed title to those lands (collectively, the ceded lands) back to the State to be held as a public land trust for five purposes: (1) public education; (2) betterment of the conditions of native Hawaiians, as defined in the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act, 1920, as amended; (3) development of farm and home ownership; (4) making of public improvements; and (5) provision of land for public use. In 1978, the State Constitution was amended expressly to provide that the ceded lands were to be held as a public trust for native Hawaiians and the general public, and to establish the Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) to administer and manage the proceeds and income derived from a pro rata portion of the ceded lands for native Hawaiians.

In 1979, the State Legislature adopted HRS Chapter 10, which, as amended in 1980, specified, among other things, that OHA receive and expend 20% of all funds derived by the State from the ceded lands for the betterment of native Hawaiians.

In 1987, in *Trustees of the Office of Hawaiian Affairs v. Yamasaki*, 69 Haw. 154 (1987), the Hawaii Supreme Court concluded that HRS Chapter 10 was insufficiently clear regarding the amount of monies OHA was entitled to receive from the public land trust.

In 1990, in response to *Yamasaki*, the State Legislature adopted Act 304, Session Laws of Hawaii 1990, which (1) defined "public land trust" and "revenue," (2) specified that 20% of the "revenue" derived from the "public land trust" was to be expended by OHA for the betterment of native Hawaiians, and (3) established a process for OHA and the Director of Finance to jointly determine the amount of monies which the State would pay OHA to retroactively settle all of OHA's claims for the period from June 16, 1980 through June 30, 1991. Since fiscal 1992, the State, through its departments and agencies, has been paying 20% of "revenue" to OHA on a quarterly basis.

In 1993, the State Legislature enacted Act 35, Session Laws of Hawaii (SLH) 1993, appropriating \$136.5 million to pay the amount determined to be OHA's claims, with interest, for the period from June 16, 1980 through June 30, 1991.

In January 1994, OHA and its Board of Trustees (the Plaintiffs) filed suit against the State (*OHA*, et al. v. State of Hawaii, et al., Civil No. 94-0205-01(First Circuit) ("OHA I"), claiming that the amount paid to OHA was inadequate and alleging that the State has failed to properly account for and fully pay the pro rata share of proceeds and income derived from the public land trust. Among other things, the Plaintiffs seek an accounting of all proceeds and income, funds and revenue derived from the public land trust since 1978, and restitution or damages amounting to

### 3. CEDED LAND REVENUES (Continued)

20% of the proceeds and income derived from the public land trust, as well as interest thereon. In its answer to OHA's complaint, the State denied all the Plaintiff's substantive allegations, and asserted its sovereign immunity from suit and other jurisdictional and claim-barring defenses.

The Plaintiffs, thereafter, filed four motions for partial summary judgment as to the State's liability to pay OHA 20% of monies it receives from (1) Airports' in-bond duty-free airport concession (including receipts from the concessionaire's off-airport sales operations); (2) the state-owned and operated Hilo Medical Center; (3) the State's rental public housing projects and affordable housing developments; and (4) interest income, including investment earnings (collectively, the Sources). In response, the State filed a motion to dismiss on the basis of sovereign immunity and opposed Plaintiffs' four motions on the merits and raised several affirmative defenses.

On October 24, 1996, the Circuit Court of the First Circuit of the State of Hawaii (First Circuit Court) filed an order denying the State's motion to dismiss and rejecting its affirmative defenses. Also on October 24, 1996, the First Circuit Court filed an order granting the Plaintiffs' four motions for partial summary judgment with respect to the State's liability to pay OHA 20% of the monies it receives from each of the Sources, and deferred establishing amounts owed from those Sources for further proceedings or trial. The State's motion for leave to file an interlocutory appeal from both the order denying its motion to dismiss and the order granting the Plaintiffs' four motions for partial summary judgment was granted, and all proceedings in the suit have been stayed pending the Hawaii Supreme Court's disposition of the State's appeal.

On September 12, 2001, the Hawaii Supreme Court concluded *OHA I* by holding in *OHA v. State of Hawaii*, 96 Haw., 388 (2001) that Act 304 was effectively repealed by its own terms, and that there were no judicially manageable standards by which to determine whether OHA was entitled to the revenue it sought from the Sources because the repeal of Act 304 revived the law which the Hawaii Supreme Court in *Yamasaki* had previously concluded was insufficiently clear to establish how much OHA was entitled to receive from the ceded lands. See *OHA v. State*, 96 Haw., 388 (2002). The Hawaii Supreme Court dismissed the case for lack of justiciability noting that it was up to the State Legislature to enact legislation to give effect to the right of native Hawaiians to benefit from the ceded lands under the State Constitution. The State Legislature took no action during the 2002 legislative session, and the State's payments of 20% of "revenue" were discontinued as of the first quarter in fiscal 2002.

### 3. CEDED LAND REVENUES (Continued)

The State Legislature took no action during the 2002 and 2003 legislative sessions to establish a new mechanism for establishing how much OHA was entitled to receive from the ceded lands. On January 10, 2003, and pending legislative action to establish such a mechanism, the Governor issued Executive Order No. 03-03 directing state agencies to resume transferring 20% of receipts from leases, licenses, and permits indisputably paid for the use of improved or unimproved parcels of ceded lands to OHA, if federal or state law did not preclude all or any portion of the receipt from being used to better the conditions of native Hawaiians, and the transfer of all or any portion of the receipt to OHA would not cause the agency to renege on a preexisting pledge, rate covenant, or other preexisting obligation to holders of revenue bonds or other indebtedness of the State or agency. During the 2003 legislative session, the State Legislature appropriated monies from the various funds into which ceded land receipts had been deposited after the decision in *OHA I* was issued and agencies ceased making payments to OHA, and directed the agencies to pay them to OHA.

OHA continues to pursue its claims for a portion of the revenues from the Sources and other ceded lands that it made in OHA I. On July 21, 2003, OHA filed a new lawsuit, OHA et al. v. State of Hawaii, et al., Civil No. 03-1-1505-07 ("OHA II"). There follows additional background information pertinent to OHA II. In September 1996, the Office of the Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Transportation ("DOT") issued a report (the "IG Report") concluding that from 1992 to 1995, the Hawaii Department of Transportation's payment to OHA of \$28.2 million was a "diversion of airport revenues in violation of applicable federal law as OHA provided no airport services in return. The Hawaii Attorney General disagreed with the IG Report's conclusion, stating in November 1996 that the payments to OHA were simply an operating cost of the airports, and thus not a diversion of airport revenues in violation of federal law. In April 1997, the Acting Administrator of the FAA concurred in writing (the "FAA Memorandum"), with the IG Report and opposed the Hawaii Attorney General's position. In support of its appeal of the First Circuit Court's *OHA I* decision to the Hawaii Supreme Court. but differing with the original position of the Hawaii Attorney General, the State noted in its May 1997 amended opening brief that "unless the federal government's position, set forth in the IG Report, changes, Act 304 prohibits the State from paying OHA airport-related revenues." In its June 1997 reply, the State stated that the "DOT Inspector General's determination shows that the federal government is on its way to finding such payments illegal and requiring the State to reimburse past payments of airport-related revenues to OHA." In October 1997, Public Law 105-66, 1997 HR 2169 (the "Forgiveness Act") was enacted into federal law. The Forgiveness Act essentially provides that in exchange for there being no further payments of airport revenues for claims related to ceded lands, any such payments received prior to April 1, 1996 need not be repaid. The Hawaii Attorney General submitted the Forgiveness Act to the Hawaii Supreme Court ("Court") in December 1997, "for the Court's use" in conjunction with the *OHA I* appeal,

### 3. CEDED LAND REVENUES (Continued)

whereupon the Court requested the parties submit supplemental briefs to address whether the Forgiveness Act affected the Court's interpretation of Act 304. The State, in its March 1998 supplemental brief, stated, inter alia, that paying OHA a pro-rata share of airport monies violated federal law, and that there was no live, ripe controversy regarding those payments because the Forgiveness Act relieved the State and OHA of any obligation to return improper past payments.

Despite the adverse *OHA I* decision, the Plaintiffs in *OHA II* have now sued the State for alleged breaches of fiduciary duties as purported trustee of the ceded lands public trust, alleged violations of Act 304, Chapter 10, and Article XII, Sections 4, 5, and 6 of the Hawaii Constitution, alleged violations of the Contract Clause of the U.S. Constitution, and alleged misrepresentation and nondisclosure, by the following alleged acts (but not limited to these acts): (1) failing to oppose positions set forth in the FAA Memorandum; (2) resolving its dispute with the FAA by obtaining a forgiveness of the prior \$28.2 million payments in exchange for a promise not to make future airport revenue payments to OHA and not to appeal the positions set forth in the FAA Memorandum; (3) breaching the trust duty of impartiality by not opposing the positions set forth in the FAA Memorandum in order to use as a sword in OHA I; (4) failing to timely advise OHA that the State was not going to continue to oppose the positions set forth in the FAA Memorandum or IG Report, and that it was planning to settle with the federal government, in order to provide OHA with a fair opportunity to take measures to step into the State's position to oppose the FAA; and (5) failing to obtain instructions from the Court on how to proceed given the State's conflict between defending the State against OHA in OHA I, and having a duty to oppose the positions set forth in the FAA Memorandum.

OHA further alleges that these alleged "breaches, errors, and omissions" were substantial factors that resulted in the passing of the Forgiveness Act and the issuance of the Hawaii Supreme Court's opinion in *OHA I*. Plaintiffs claim that, accordingly, the State is liable to OHA for damages including, but not limited to: (1) the damages alleged by OHA in *OHA I*, and (2) amounts payable under Act 304 that have not been paid, including but not limited to, airport landing fees. Plaintiffs also seek declaratory and injunctive relief ordering the State to reinstate Act 304, pay airport-related revenues to OHA from sources other than airport revenues (and enjoining the State and its agents, employees, and officials from opposing any of the above), and seeks appointment of an independent trustee to temporarily replace the State as trustee of the native Hawaiian public trust with respect to matters relating to reinstatement of Act 304 and the payment of airport-related revenues to OHA from the sources other than airport revenues.

The State currently is unable to predict with reasonable certainty the magnitude of its potential liability for such claims, if any. Resolution of all of OHA's claims could have a material adverse effect on the State's financial condition.

### 3. CEDED LAND REVENUES (Continued)

In a second lawsuit, OHA filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief on November 4, 1994 (*OHA v. Housing Finance and Development Corporation, et al.*, Civil No. 94-4207-11 (First Circuit)) to enjoin the State from alienating any ceded lands or, alternatively, to preclude the extinguishing of any rights native Hawaiians may have in ceded lands which may be alienated.

Alternatively, OHA sought a declaration that the amounts the Housing Finance and Development Corporation (the "Corporation") and the State paid to OHA for ceded lands the Corporation planned to use to develop and sell housing units pursuant to Act 318, SLH of 1992, were insufficient. Act 318 established a separate process for valuing the ceded lands the Corporation used for its two housing developments at Kealakeke and Lahaina, and quantifying the amounts of income and proceeds from the ceded lands that the Corporation and State were required to pay OHA for conveying and using the parcels for the Corporation's two projects.

In December 2002, following a trial on the issues, the trial court confirmed the State's authority to sell ceded lands, denied the declaratory ruling that the sale of ceded lands did not directly or indirectly release or limit Hawaiians' claim to those lands which the Plaintiff requested, and ordered that judgment be entered in the State's and Corporation's favor as to Counts I, II, and III of the Amended Complaint. The Plaintiffs moved for and were granted leave to file immediate appeals from the trial court's ruling to the Hawaiian Supreme Court. Those appeals are now pending. Trial to determine the sufficiency of the proceeds paid to OHA by the Corporation and the State from the sale of particular parcels of ceded lands at issue has not been scheduled.

In a third lawsuit, OHA filed suit against the Hawaii Housing Authority (the HHA), the executive director of the HHA, the board members of the HHA and the Director of Finance on July 27, 1995 (*OHA v. HHA, et al.*, Civil No. 95-2682-07 (First Circuit)) to secure additional compensation and an itemized accounting of the sums previously paid to OHA for five specifically identified parcels of ceded lands which were transferred to the HHA for its use to develop, construct and manage additional affordable public rental housing units under HRS Chapter 201G. On January 11, 2000, all proceedings in this suit were stayed pending the Hawaii Supreme Court's decision in the State's appeal in *OHA v. State of Hawaii*, Civil No. 94-0205-01 (First Circuit). The repeal and revival of the pre-*Yamasaki* law by the Hawaii Supreme Court's September 12, 2001 decision in *OHA v. State* should also require dismissal of the claims OHA makes in *OHA v. HHA* and the case remains pending.

At the present time, the State is not able to estimate with any reasonable certainty the magnitude of the potential liability as it may be determined either by judicial rulings (either at trial or on appeal) or by legislation enacted as a result of the process established in Act 329. Accordingly, no estimate of loss has been made in State's or the accompanying financial statements. However,

### 3. CEDED LAND REVENUES (Continued)

an ultimate decision against the State could have a material adverse effect on the financial position of the State.

The Fund has recorded ceded land payments of \$23,730 for 2005, to OHA representing OHA's entitlement to revenues derived from the Fund's use of ceded lands. All monies due prior to October 1, 2002 were transferred to a trust fund pending resolution of OHA's claim against the State. Included in accounts payable is \$5,886 for ceded land payments due as of June 30, 2005.

### 4. ACCRUED LIABILITES

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

Accrued vacation payable	\$	185,784
Accrued wages payable		43,119
Accrued interest payable		22,946
Accrued workers' compensation	_	13,100
		264,949
Less current portion	_	(109,925)
Total accrued liabilities, net of current portion	\$_	155,024

### 5. WORKERS' COMPENSATION RESERVE

The Fund is fully self-insured for workers' compensation and disability claims which are expensed when incurred. The Fund pays a portion of wages, medical bills, and judgments as stipulated by the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, and other costs for injured workers. During the year ended June 30, 2005, there were no payments for workers' compensation claims and disability expenses. The Fund has recorded a reserve of \$13,100 for the expected cost of open reported claims based on historical cost information for closed and open claims as of June 30, 2005.

#### 6. GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PAYABLE

The following are general obligation bonds and general obligation refunding bonds allocated to the Fund under acts of various Session Laws of Hawaii. Repayment of allocated bonds are made

### 6. GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PAYABLE (Continued)

to the State' General Fund. Details of the allocated bonds payable at June 30, 2005 are as follows:

5.15% - 6.40% General obligation bonds, Series BW, of \$20,800 issued in March 1992 under Act 300, Session Laws of Hawaii 1995; annual principal payments of \$1,156 beginning March 1, 1995 through March 1, 1996, and \$1,155 beginning March 1, 1997 through March 1, 2002; and 1,155 beginning March 1, 2006 through March 1, 2012; semi-annual interest payments due September 1 and March 1; maturing on March 1, 2012.

\$ 5,758

4.30% - 5.75% General obligation refunding bonds, Series CB, of \$2,884,269 issued in January 1993 under Act 315, Session Laws of Hawaii 1992; annual principal payments of \$221,980 beginning January 1, 1996 through January 1, 1997, and \$221,846 beginning January 1, 1998 through January 1, 2008; semi-annual interest payments due July 1 and January 1; maturing in January 2008.

665,539

3.85% - 7.75% General obligation refunding bonds, Series CC, of \$218,603 issued in February 1993 under Act 315, Session Laws of Hawaii 1992; annual principal payments of \$15,615 beginning February 1, 1996 through February 1, 2003, and \$15,612 beginning February 1, 2007 through February 1, 2009; semi-annual interest payments due August 1 and February 1; maturing in February 2009.

46,837

4.00% - 5.00% General obligation refunding bonds, Series CI, of \$550,212 issued in October 1993 under Act 344, Session Laws of Hawaii 1993; annual principal payments of \$36,685 beginning November 1, 1996 through November 1, 2003, and \$36,676 beginning November 1, 2004 through November 1, 2010; semi-annual interest payments due November 1 and May 1; maturing in November 2010.

220,057

### 6. GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PAYABLE (Continued)

5.00% - 5.25% General obligation refunding bonds, Series
CS, of \$20,197 issued in April 1998 under Act 357, Session
Laws of Hawaii 1997; annual principal payments of \$2,465
beginning April 1, 2003 and increasing incrementally to
\$3,335 through April 1, 2009; semi-annual interest
payments due April 1 and October 1; maturing on April 1,
2009.

\$ 12,408

3.40% - 5.50% General obligation refunding bonds, Series CW, of \$1,254 issued in August 2001 under Act 3, Session Laws of Hawaii 2001; annual principal payment of \$89 beginning August 1,2005 and increasing incrementally to \$146 through August 1, 2015; semi-annual interest payments due February 1, and August 1; maturing on August 15, 2015.

1,214

3.60% - 5.25% General obligation refunding bonds, Series CY, of \$2,394 issued in February 2002 under Act 3, Session Laws of Hawaii 2002; annual principal payments of \$216 beginning February 1, 2007 and increasing incrementally to \$326 through February 1, 2015; semi-annual interest payments due February 1, and August 1; maturing on August 15, 2015.

2,382

2.8% - 5.00% General obligation refunding bonds, Series DB, of \$124,267 issued in September 2003 under Act 116, Session Laws of Hawaii 2003; annual principal payments of \$11,307 beginning September 1, 2008 and increasing incrementally to \$16,764 through September 1, 2016; semi-annual interest payments due March 1 and September 1; maturing on September 1, 2016.

124,267

3.00% General obligation refunding bonds, Series DC, of \$15,491 issued in September 2003 under Act 116, Session Laws of Hawaii 2003; principal payment of \$15,491 due September 1, 2005; semi-annual interest payments due March 1 and September 1; maturing in September 2005.

15,491

### 6. GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PAYABLE (Continued)

5.00% General obligation refunding bonds, Series DG, of \$2,283 issued in June 2005 under Act 42, Session Laws of Hawaii 2004; annual principal payments of \$206 beginning July 1, 2009 and increasing incrementally to \$307 through July 1, 2017; semi-annual interest payments due January 1 and July 1; maturing in July 1 2017.

\$ 2,283

5.00% General obligation refunding bonds, Series DH, of \$59 issued in June 2005 under Act 42, Session Laws of Hawaii 2004; annual principal payment of \$59 due July 1, 2006; annual interest payment due June 1; maturing on June 1, 2006

Total bonds payable 1,096,295
Less current installments (278,191)
Bonds payable, net of current installments \$ 818,104

Debt service requirements to maturity on general obligation bonds payable are as follows:

Years ending June 30:	_	Principal Inte		Interest	_	Total
2006	\$	$278,\overline{191}$	\$	52,247	\$	330,438
2007		278,623		36,786		315,409
2008		278,788		21,386		300,174
2009		67,268		5,941		73,209
2010		49,007		3,292		52,299
2011-2015		110,763		2,657		113,420
2016-2020		33,655		161	_	33,816
	\$	1.096.295	\$	122.470	\$	1.218.765

#### 7. CHANGES IN NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES

Changes in non-current liabilities were as follows:

	Beginning			Ending	Due within
	Balance	Increases Decreases		Balance	one year
Security card deposits	\$ 17,405	\$ 2,660	\$ 615	\$ 19,450	\$ -
Accrued liabilities	268,974	104,964	108,989	264,949	109,925
Due to State of Hawaii	140,962	-	-	140,962	-
General obligation bond payable	<u>1,466,206</u>	2,342	372,253	1,096,295	278,191
Total non-current liabilities	\$ <u>1,893,547</u>	\$ <u>109,966</u>	\$ <u>481,857</u>	\$ <u>1,521,656</u>	\$ <u>388,116</u>

#### 8. RETIREMENT BENEFITS

### Employees' Retirement System

All eligible employees of the Fund are required by HRS Chapter 88 to become members of the Employees' Retirement System of the State of Hawaii (ERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement plan. The ERS provides retirement benefits as well as death and disability benefits and is governed by a Board of Trustees. All contributions, benefits and eligibility requirements are established by HRS Chapter 88 and can be amended by legislative action. The ERS issues a comprehensive annual financial report that is available to the public. The report may be obtained by writing to the ERS at 201 Merchant Street, Suite 1400, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.

Prior to June 30, 1984, the plan consisted of only a contributory option. In 1984, legislation was enacted to add a non-contributory option for members of the ERS who are also covered under Social Security. Persons who are employed in positions not covered by Social Security are precluded from the non-contributory option. The non-contributory option provides for reduced benefits and covers most eligible employees hired after June 30, 1984. Employees hired before that date were allowed to continue under the contributory option or to elect the new non-contributory option and receive a refund of employee contributions. All benefits vest after five and ten years of credited service under the contributory and non-contributory options, respectively.

Both options provide a monthly retirement allowance based on the employee's age, years of credited service, and average final compensation (AFC). The AFC is the average salary earned during the five highest paid years of service, including the vacation payment, if the employee became a member prior to January 1, 1971. The AFC for members hired on or after that date is based on the three highest paid years of service, excluding the vacation payment.

Most covered employees of the contributory option are required to contribute 7.8% of their salary. The funding method used to calculate the total employer contribution requirement is the Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. Under this method, employer contributions to the ERS are comprised of normal cost plus level annual payments required to liquidate the unfunded actuarial liability over the remaining period of 27 years from June 30, 2002.

The State's contribution requirement as of June 30, 2004, 2003 and 2002, based on the most recent information available, was approximately \$181,614,000, \$158,622,000 and \$113,984,000, respectively. The State contributed 100% of its required contributions for those years.

### 8. RETIREMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Changes in salary growth assumptions and investment earnings pursuant to Act 100, Session Laws of Hawaii (SLH) 1999, decreased the June 30, 2002 and 2001 required contributions. Act 233, SLH of 2002, increased the 2003 contribution by providing a one-time lump-sum pensioner bonus to retirees who were 70 years and older with at least 20 years of credited service as of June 30, 2002. Also Act 284, SLH of 2001, provided an increase in pension benefits effective 2003 to retirees with military service. The Fund's covered payroll was approximately \$798,126 in 2005. The retirement plan contributions charged to the Fund's operation was \$111,642 in 2005.

### Post-Retirement Health Care and Life Insurance Benefits

In addition to providing pension benefits, the State, pursuant to HRS Chapter 87, provides certain health care and life insurance benefits to all qualified employees.

For employees hired before July 1, 1996, the State pays the entire monthly health care premium for employees retiring with ten or more years of credited service, and 50% of the monthly premium for employees retiring with fewer than ten years of credited service.

For employees hired after June 30, 1996, and who retire with fewer than ten years of service, the State makes no contributions. For those retiring with at least ten years but fewer than 15 years of service, the State pays 50% of the retired employees' monthly Medicare or non-Medicare premium. For employees hired after June 30, 1996, and who retire with at least 15 years but fewer than 25 years of service, the State pays 75% of the retired employees' monthly Medicare or non-Medicare premium; for those retiring with over 25 years of service, the State pays the entire health care premium.

Contributions are financed on a pay-as-you-go basis. The Fund's share of the expense for post-retirement benefits for the year ended June 30, 2005 was \$67,996.

Effective July 1, 2003, the Hawaii Employer-Union Health Benefit Trust Fund (EUTF) replaced the Hawaii Public employees Health Fund under Act 88, SLH of 2001. The EUTF was established to provide a single delivery system of health benefits for state and county employees, retirees, and their dependents.

#### 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Accumulated Sick Leave Pay

Sick leave accumulates at the rate of one and three-quarters working days for each month of service without limit, but can be taken only in the event of illness and is not convertible to pay upon termination of employment. However, a state employee who retires or leaves government service in good standing with sixty days or more of unused sick leave is entitled to additional service credit in the ERS. The Fund's accumulated sick leave pay is based on current salary rates, including additional amounts for certain salary-related payments associated with the payment of compensated absences, in accordance with GASB Statement No. 16, *Accounting for Compensated Absences*. As of June 30, 2005, sick leave accumulations were approximately \$518,394.

### **Deferred Compensation Plan**

The State offers it employees a deferred compensation plan (Plan) created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The Plan, available to all state employees, permits employees to defer a portion of their compensation until future years. The deferred compensation is not available to employees until termination, retirement, death or unforeseeable emergency.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 32, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans, which was issued October 1997, requires deferred compensation plans to be reported as an expendable trust if they meet the fiduciary fund criteria defined in National Council on Governmental Accounting Statement 1. The State's deferred compensation plan does not meet those criteria. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities of the deferred compensation plan are not reported in the State's or the Fund's financial statements.

All plan assets are held in a trust fund to protect them from claims of the State's general creditors. The State has no responsibility for investment losses, but does have the duty of due care that would be required of an ordinary prudent investor.

#### 10. CENTRAL SERVICE AND DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

HRS Section 36-27 requires that the Fund be assessed its pro rata share of central service expenses of government in relation to other special funds based on a percentage of the Fund's receipts. HRS Section 36-30 requires that the Fund be assessed its pro rata share of administrative expenses incurred by the Department of Accounting and General Services (DAGS), based on the ratio of the general administrative service expenses to DAGS' net departmental expenses. There was no HRS Section 36-27 assessment in 2005. The total of the assessment under HRS Section 36-30 was \$47,121 in 2005.

### 11. RISK MANAGMENT

The Fund is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors or omissions; and workers' compensation. The State generally retains the first \$250,000 per occurrence of property losses and the first \$4 million with respect to general liability claims. Losses in excess of those retention amounts are insured with commercial insurance carriers. The limit per occurrence for property losses is \$100 million except for flood and earthquake which is \$25 million and the annual aggregate for general liability losses per occurrence is \$10 million. The State also has an insurance policy to cover medical malpractice risk in the amount of \$20 million per occurrence and in the aggregate. The State is generally self-insured for workers' compensation and automobile claims.

The estimated reserve for losses and loss adjustment costs includes the accumulation of estimates for losses and claims reported prior to fiscal year-end, estimates (based on projections of historical developments) of claims incurred but not reported, and estimate of costs for investigating and adjusting all incurred and unadjusted claims. Amounts reported are subject to the impact of future changes in economic and social conditions. The State believes that, given the inherent variability in any such estimates, the reserves are within a reasonable and acceptable range of adequacy. Reserves are continually monitored and reviewed, and as settlements are made and reserves adjusted, the differences are reported in current operations. A liability for a claim is established if information indicates that it is probable that a liability has been incurred at the date of the combined financial statements and the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable.

### PART IV INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE SECTION



### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Comptroller State of Hawaii Department of Accounting and General Services 1151 Punchbowl Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

We have audited the financial statements of State of Hawaii, Department of Accounting and General Services, State Parking Revolving Fund (the "Fund") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated November 15, 2005. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Fund's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we noted certain matters that we reported to management of the Fund, in a separate letter dated November 15, 2005.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Fund's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, including applicable provisions of the Hawaii Public Procurement Code (Chapter 103D of the Hawaii Revised Statutes) and procurement rules, directives and circulars, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the Fund, the Department of Accounting and General Services and others within the organization and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Honolulu, Hawaii November 15, 2005

COPY

Original document signed by Egami & Ichikawa CPAs, Inc.

### PART V SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

# STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES STATE PARKING REVOLVING FUND SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

### **SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS**

### **FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:**

Type of auditors' report issued: <u>Unqualified</u>

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weaknesses identified? <u>No</u>

Reportable condition identified that is not considered

to be material weakness None reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? <u>No</u>

### **SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATMENT FINDINGS**

### **Status of Prior Year's Comments**

No matters were reported.

### **Current Year's Comments**

No matters were reported.

### PART VI CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

# STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF ACCOUNTING AND GENERAL SERVICES STATE PARKING REVOLVING FUND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN JUNE 30, 2005

A corrective action plan is not required since there were no audit findings and questioned costs reported for the year ended June 30, 2005.